

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,237	03/10/2004	Paulus Antonius Augustinus Hofte	9177	2993
	7590 05/16/200 R & GAMBLE COMP	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION - WEST BLDG. WINTON HILL BUSINESS CENTER - BOX 412			SPISICH, MARK	
	ER HILL AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CINCINNATI, OH 45224			1744	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/797,237	HOFTE ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Mark Spisich	1744		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA INSIGHT OF THE MAILING	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT a, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. sply be timely filed If HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 A	<u>pril 2007</u> .			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims	•			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-9 and 14-31</u> is/are	withdrawn from considerat	ion.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 10-13 is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)⊡ objected to b	y the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	•	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents		and and a second		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	·	· ———		
	application from the International Bureau	•	eceived in this National Stage		
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • •	eceived.		
		·			
Attachmen					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date		
3) 🔲 Infor	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Inf	formal Patent Application		
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6)	_		

Application/Control Number: 10/797,237 Page 2

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of Group II (claims 10-19) and the species of Figure 12 in the reply filed on 27 April 2007 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). NOTE: It is pointed out that the most recent election (filed 27 April 2007) was to a different invention than the election filed 12 February 2007. The most recent election supersedes the earlier one.
- 2. Claims 1-9,14-31 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention/species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 27 April 2007. NOTE: Applicant had indicated that claims 18 and 19 read on the elected species (Fig 12); however, these claims are (1) dependent on a non-elected claim 14 (and thus would be non-elected as well) and (2) drawn to the embodiment of Figs 19-20 (with the pin 62) anyway.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: (1) #320 (page 8, line 25) is wrong; (2) "hard" (page 13, line 9) should be "head"; (3) #240 (page 13, line 24) is wrong; and (4) "61" (page 18, line 30) is wrong.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,237 Page 3

Art Unit: 1744

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smith et al (USP 3,906,580). The patent to Smith discloses a cleaning implement comprising a mop head (34), handle (52), cleaning tool (10) and a locking mechanism (54) (column 2, lines 36-48).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Specht (USP 6,260,226) in view of Fernandez (US PUB 2002/0162573). The patent to Specht discloses a cleaning implement comprising a handle (21), mop head (28) coupled to the handle by a universal joint and further including a locking mechanism (58). The patent to Specht discloses the invention substantially as claimed with the exception of the added tool. The provision of an auxiliary cleaning tool to a mop is known in the art and is taught by Fernandez (42a). It would have been obvious to one

Art Unit: 1744

of ordinary skill to have provided such a tool to the device of Specht to help remove stubborn debris.

8. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Specht (USP 6,260,226) and Fernandez (US PUB 2002/0162573) as applied to claim 10 above, and further in view of Hussey (USP 558,074). The prior art discloses the invention substantially as claimed with the exception of the handle and the head both including locking elements. The patent to Hussey discloses a cleaning implement with a universal joint and further including cooperating locking elements (H,J) on the head and the handle. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to have modified the device of Specht as such as it is an alternative locking structure disclosed as useful in a cleaning implement.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Hays and Seufert as pertinent to mops with an auxiliary cleaning tool and the others patents to the locking mechanism.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Spisich whose telephone number is (571) 272-1278. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (5:30-3:00), Alternate Fri off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys Corcoran can be reached on (571) 272-1214. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mark Spisich Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744

MS